

Prototyping a transdisciplinary bioengineering curriculum development project Lionel Lam, Thomas Cochrane, Catherine Davey, Sam John, Shaktivesh Shaktivesh, Saampras Ganesan, Vijay Rajagopal Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Melbourne

Abstract

- Biomedical engineering is transdisciplinary
- Students must be able to integrate concepts across domains to tackle biomedical problems
- Traditional curricula do not reflect this: students tend to over-compartmentalise concepts & engage in surface learning
- Applied design-based research framework to redevelop curriculum around collaborative student-led design of a bionic limb
- Implementation in 2 subjects to date has garnered positive student feedback

Background

• Biomedical engineering is **transdisciplinary** • "Integration of multiple disciplines in a way that transcends their traditional boundaries" (Khoo, Haapakoski, Hellstén, & Malone, 2019)



Figure 1: The trandisciplinary nature of biomedical engineering.

- Previous curriculum did not reflect this (little to no cross-subject references/connections)
 - Students tend to over-compartmentalise concepts
 - Students tend to engage in surface learning
- Aims/Objectives:
 - Help students make connections between concepts & across subjects
 - Promote deep learning through hands-on collaborative design work
 - Enhance the overall learning experience

ASCILITE 2021



Figure 2: DBR framework (adapted from McKenney & Reeves, 2020).

• Approach: Redevelop curriculum around a bionic limb design project

• Inspired by work done in a previous subject exploring the integrated learning of mechanics & programming concepts



Figure 3: Assignment centred around the simulation and animation of a bicep curl, integrating mechanics & programming concepts.

- Students explore engineering sub-systems of the bionic limb in different subjects, focusing on connections & how parts contribute to a whole
- **Problem-based learning:** promotes independent & profession-aligned learning (Biggs & Tang, 2011)
- Constructionism: knowledge & connections between concepts actively constructed through creation & collaboration (Papert & Harel, 1991)

Progress

• Formed curriculum design team aligned with core subjects & bionic limb sub-systems

Table 1: Alignment of subject focus areas & relevant bionic limb sub-systems.		
Subject	Focus Area	Sub-system
BMEN20003 Applied Computation in Bioengineering	User-bionic limb interfacing, programming & simulation	Translation of user inputs into motion outputs
BMEN30006 Circuits and Systems	Actuation & control of limb motion	Electronics & circuitry
BMEN30010 Mechanics for Bioengineering	Material design & fabrication, mechanics of limb motion	Physical structure of bionic limb
BMEN30008 Biosystems Design	Engineering design & analysis principles	Feasibility studies, safety & risk analyses, assembly

• Staging of information is important • Students encounter BMEN20003 first, then BMEN30006 & BMEN30010 concurrently, and

finally BMEN30008 • Subject assessments designed to account for this & to foreshadow connections to future concepts • Prototyping of bionic limb by tech designers • Revealed challenges likely to be faced by students • Informed design of accompanying scaffolded

learning activities



Figure 4: Functioning bionic limb prototype.

• Bionic limb-related learning activities launched in 2 subjects to date • **BMEN20003**

- Bicep curl simulation (Figure 3) adapted for delivery
- Subject altered to incorporate concepts drawn from a diversity of bioengineering-related fields (e.g. electromagnetism, systems biology)

• **BMEN30010**

- Activities modified to promote recall of knowledge covered in BMEN20003
- Established project-based team assignments requiring synthesis of mechanics & computation (along with scaffolded accompanying tasks)

• Positive preliminary student feedback

"It is a fantastic concept and I love the idea that unit coordinators are working together as a specialisation rather than isolated units. I think it's great our coordinators are working together to integrate content. Overall, I think the collaboration is fantastic and **something that we** should do throughout our degree to bring skills together."

• Reflections

- COVID-19 restrictions adversely affected student interactions & collaborations
- Difficult to alter students' approaches to learning due to length of semester (12 weeks) – likely to
- observe changes in longer term
- Formal evaluations (surveys & focus groups)
- Ecology of resources to be expanded (ePortfolios)



- Biggs, J. & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching/learning activities for functioning intended learning outcomes, In *Teaching for Quality Learning at University* (pp. 160-190). Open University Press.
- Khoo, S., Haapakoski, J., Hellstén, M., & Malone, J. (2019). Moving from interdisciplinary research to transdisciplinary educational ethics: Bridging epistemological differences in researching higher education internationalization(s). European Educational Research Journal, 18(2), 181-
- McKenny, S. & Reeves, T. (2020). Educational design research: Portraying, conducting, and enhancing productive scholarship. Medical Education, 55. Papert, S. and Harel, I. (1991). Constructionism. New York, NY: Ablex Publishing Corporation, New York, NY.
- •
- Funding & Support: Rajagopal, John, Davey, Lam. The bionic limb project: enhancing student learning through projectbased learning in bioengineering. Learning & Teaching Initiatives, Chancellery (Academic), University of Melbourne.

Conclusion

planned for upcoming semesters

Figure 5: CAD schematic of bionic limb prototype.



Please scan QR code for more information.

References